REPEATED DOSE IN VIVO ORAL TOXICITY STUDY TO TEST LONG-TERM EFFECTS OF THE MYCOTOXIN ENNIATIN B IN MALE AND FEMALE CD-1 MICE: FOCUS ON HISTOPATHOLOGICAL DATA FOR THE NOAEL DEFINITION Tassinari R¹, Narciso L¹, Tait S¹, La Rocca C¹, Di Felice G¹, Butteroni C¹, Corinti S¹, Barletta B¹, Cordelli E², Pacchierotti F², Eleuteri P², Villani P², Le Hegarat L³, Fessard V³, Reale O³, Maranghi F¹ ¹Istituto Superiore di Sanità, Rome, Italy. ²Italian National Agency for New Technologies, Energy and Sustainable Economic Development, Rome, Italy. ³French Agency for Food, Environmental and Occupational Health & Safety,

Fougeres, France.

BACKGROUND

Enniatin B (ENN-B) is an emerging mycotoxin, secondary product of Fusarium fungi, detected in food and feed, mainly in cereal grains. ENN-B showed antibacterial, antihelmintic, antifungal, herbicidal, and insecticidal activities, the main mechanism of action being its ionophoric characteristics. ENN-B showed a potent cytotoxic activity in several mammalian cell lines; despite this, European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) stated that acute exposure does not indicate concern for human health. Nevertheless, insufficient data exist to establish a tolerable daily intake and/or an acute reference dose given the lack of relevant toxicity data following chronic exposure and a risk assessment was not possible.



No-Observed-Adverse-Effect-Level (NOAEL) VS **Benchmark dose (BMD) approach**





francesca.maranghi@iss.it

link https://shiny-efsa.openanalytics.eu/app

Maranghi F. et al (2018) In vivo toxicity and genotoxicity of beauvericin and enniatins. Combined approach to study in vivo toxicity and genotoxicity of mycotoxins beauvericin (BEA) and enniatin B (ENNB). EFSA Supporting publication 2018:EN-1406 Davis JA et al (2010) Introduction to benchmark dose methods and U.S. EPA's benchmark dose software (BMDS) version 2.1.1. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol. 2011 Jul 15;254(2):181-91.

Advantages and limitations of the NOAEL and BMD methods. NOAEL limitations Not limited to experimental doses Highly dependent on dose selection Does not account for variability and e.g., does not account for study quality appropriately Takes into account the shape of the Dose-response information (e.g., shape of dose-response curve) not taken into Does not correspond to consistent response levels for comparisons across results across chemicals and studies Flexibility in determining biologically • A LOAEL cannot be used to derive a NOAEL advantages · Can be used when data is not amenable for BMD modeling Easy to derive More complicated decision making Has been the standard method for deriving a POD for decades (e.g., is familiar to most risk assessors)



BMD advantages

significant rates

BMD limitations

dose-response curve and other

Ability to estimate BMD may be

limited by the format of data

EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

Principles on GLP.

Dose level calculation

➡ olive oil+6%DMSO CTRL

Endpoints



P403-0549



point	Male mice		Female mice	
	BMDL	BMDU	BMDL	BMDU
	(mg/kg b.w./day)	(mg/kg b.w./day)	(mg/kg b.w./day)	(mg/kg b.w./day)
in duodenum	15.1	17.8		
ortex area			1.00E-06	0.065
ometrial area			1.35E-06	0.075
te pulp area			2.39E-06	14
Hill m5- Hill m5- A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	Hill m5- Hill m5- Hill m5- A A A A A A A A A A A A A	Fig. 2 - BMD fit curves spleen white pulp a thymus cortex area uterus endometrial area females and vacuolization duodenum in males.		white pulp area, cortex area and endometrial area in and vacuolization in