14th Annual Worksop of the National Reference Laboratories for *E. coli* – Rome 4-5 November 2019

PT22

Detection of STEC in sprouts spent irrigation water (PT22)

November-December 2018









Istituto Superiore di Sanità, Food Safety, Nutrition and Veterinary Public Health Department European Reference Laboratory for *Escherichia coli*



The EURL for *E. coli* issued a procedure for pre-treatment of spent irrigation water, based on centrifugation. This methodology was assessed in two PT rounds

The outcome of PT19 run in 2017 on the detection and isolation of STEC in sprout spent irrigation water samples had underlined the need to revise the procedure for testing such a complex matrix

A modification of the procedure was proposed to the NRLs in the framework of PT22, which aimed at defining the performance of the method

The revision of the procedure included to carry out the enrichment step at 41.5 °C instead of 37°C



The **objectives** of PT221 were:

✓ To assess the performance of the revised method for testing spent irrigation water

✓ The proficiency of the participants was not evaluated

NRLs and Italian Official Laboratories (OLs) were invited to take part to the voluntary inter-laboratory study: 56 Laboratories enrolled

Test samples

A local sprout producer provided the spent irrigation water used for the study. The water was collected starting from 48 h after the beginning of the sprout production process, as prescribed in Reg. (EU) 209/2013.



Natural background microflora = 10⁶ CFU/ml

| | Contamination level in: | | |
|------------------------|-------------------------|----------|--|
| Contaminant (Genotype) | Sample 1 | Sample 2 | |
| C125-06 STEC O103 | | | |
| (stx2+, eae+) | 50 CFU/mI* | - | |

*The lowest contamination level used in PT19

Stability tests:

Real Time PCR screening was positive for the STEC target genes and **isolation** was successful even after 10 days from the spiking



Test Samples were prepared on 17 November and shipped on 19 of November 2018



10 bottles for each of the two contamination levels were randomly selected for homogeneity testing and analyzed according to the PT laboratory procedure. All the homogeneity tests gave the expected results.

Results: Real Time PCR screening of the test samples

| | | De | tection of viru | lence and se | rogroup-a | ssociated | genes in: | |
|-------|----------|------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------------------|
| NRL | Sample 1 | | | | | 5 | Sample 2 | |
| | stx1 | stx2 | eae | wzx ₀₁₀₃ | stx1 | stx2 | eae | wzx ₀₁₀₃ |
| True | | | | | | | | 0105 |
| value | - | + | + | + | - | - | - | - |
| L109 | | | | | | | | |
| L144 | | | | | | | | |
| L257 | | | | | | | | |
| L283 | | | | | | | | |
| L288 | | | | | | | | |
| L295 | | | | | | | | |
| L296 | | | | | | | | |
| L300 | | | | | | | | |
| L307 | | - | | | | | | |
| L319 | | | | | | | | |
| L323 | | | | | | | | |
| L341 | | | | | | | | |
| L350 | | | | | | | | |
| L351 | | | | | | | | |
| L355 | | | | | | | | |
| L391 | | - | | | | + | + | + |
| L400 | | - | | 1 | | | | |
| L429 | | | | | | | | |
| L439 | | | | | | | | |
| L441 | | _ | | | | | | |
| L446 | | _ | | | | | | |
| 1504 | | | | | | | | |
| L521 | | | | | | | | |
| 1542 | | _ | | | | l | | |
| 1576 | | | | | | | | |
| 1590 | | | | | | | | |
| 1598 | | _ | | | | + | + | + |
| 1599 | | | | | | | | |
| 1609 | | | | | | | | |
| 1636 | | | | | | | | |
| 1649 | | | | | | | | |
| 1662 | | | | | | | | |
| 1683 | | | | | | | | |
| 1689 | | | | | | | | |
| 1776 | | | | | | | | |
| 1783 | | | | | | | | |
| 1789 | | | | | | | | |
| 1802 | | | | | | | | |
| 1802 | | | | | | | | |
| 1813 | | | | | | | | |
| 1821 | | | | | | | | |
| 1825 | | | | | | | | |
| 1905 | | | | | | | | |
| 1906 | | | | | | | | |
| 1920 | | | | <u> </u> | | | | |
| 1920 | | | | <u> </u> | | | | |
| 1025 | | | | | | | | |
| 1935 | | | | | | | | |
| 1940 | | | | | | | | |
| L948 | | | | | | | | |
| 1070 | | | | | | | | |
| L970 | | | | | | | | |





Results: Isolation of the STEC O103

| | | STEC strain isolation and genotyping from: | | | | |
|---|-------|--|-------|----------|-----|---|
| ļ | | | Sampl | e 1 | | Sample 2 |
| | NRL | STEC O103 | | Genotype | | |
| | | Isolation | stx1 | stx2 | eae | 1 . |
| | True | | | | | |
| | value | + | - | + | + | None |
| | L109 | | | | | |
| ł | L144 | | | | | |
| ł | L257 | | | | | |
| ł | L283 | - | | | | |
| | L288 | | | | | |
| ł | L295 | | | | | |
| ł | L296 | | | | | |
| ł | L300 | | | | | |
| ł | L319 | | | | | |
| ł | L323 | | | | | |
| ł | L341 | | | | | |
| | L350 | | | | | |
| ł | L351 | | | | | |
| ł | L355 | - | | | | |
| | L391 | | | | | O103, vtx1-, vtx2+, eae+ |
| ł | L429 | ND | | 1 | | , |
| | L439 | - | | 1 | | |
| | L504 | - | | 1 | | |
| ł | L521 | | | | | |
| ł | L576 | - | | | | |
| | L590 | | | | | |
| ł | L598 | | | | | O103, vtx1-, vtx2+, eae+ |
| ł | L599 | - | | | | |
| ł | L609 | | | | | |
| ł | L636 | | | | | |
| | L649 | - | | | | |
| | L662 | | | | | |
| ł | L683 | | | | | |
| | L689 | - | | | | |
| | L776 | _ | | l — | | |
| ł | L783 | | | | | |
| ł | L789 | | | | | |
| | L802 | | | | | |
| ł | L803 | | | | | |
| ł | L813 | | - | - | | |
| | L825 | | | <u> </u> | | |
| | L905 | | | | | |
| | L935 | | | | | |
| ł | 1 940 | | | | | |
| | 1948 | | | | | |
| ł | 1 970 | | | | | |
| ł | 1 980 | | | | | |
| | 1 997 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Evaluation of the performance of the method

Screening Step

| | Se | Sp |
|---------------------|--------|-------|
| stx1 | N.A. | 99 % |
| stx2 | 84.7 % | 100 % |
| eae | 100 % | N.A. |
| wzx ₀₁₀₃ | 93.3 % | N.A. |

Isolation Step

Se: 74.1 % (around 22 % for the low contamination level in PT19)

Sensitivity: Se = [true positives / (true positives + false negatives)] x 100 Specificity: Sp = [True negatives / (true negatives + false positives)] x 100

Concluding remarks

The network of Laboratories working in the field of pathogenic *E. coli* is made up of very collaborative participants



the sensitivity of the method in the Real Time PCR screening was quite good

the isolation step showed a strong improvement when the enrichment temperature was raised at 41.5 °C, when compared to the results obtained in PT19 with the samples containing the same concentration of contaminant

The modification included in the revised protocol resulted effective

Thanks to all the participants in the study and thank you all for your attention!